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Google provides an interesting and easy-to-use open source alternative for developing unit tests to validate C/C++ based software. This article introduces readers to some of the more useful features of the Google C++ Testing Framework and is based on version 1.4 of the release.

Why use the Google C++ Testing Framework?

There are many good reasons for you to use this framework. This section describes several of them.

Some categories of tests have bad memory problems that surface only during certain runs. Google's test framework provides excellent support for handling such situations. You can repeat the same test a thousand times using the Google framework. At the first sign of a failure, the debugger is automatically invoked. In addition, all of this is done with just two switches passed from command line: --gtest_repeat=1000 --gtest_break_on_failure.

Contrary to a lot of other testing frameworks, Google's test framework has built-in assertions that are deployable in software where exception handling is disabled (typically for performance reasons). Thus, the assertions can be used safely in destructors, too.

Running the tests is simple. Just making a call to the predefined RUN_ALL_TESTS macro does the trick, as opposed to creating or deriving a separate runner class for test execution. This is in sharp contrast to frameworks such as CppUnit.

Generating an Extensible Markup Language (XML) report is as easy as passing a switch: --gtest_output="xml:<file name>". In frameworks such as CppUnit and CppTest, you need to write substantially more code to generate XML output.
**Creating a basic test**
Consider the prototype for a simple square root function shown in **Listing 1**.

**Listing 1. Prototype of the square root function**
```cpp
double square-root (const double);
```

For negative numbers, this routine returns -1. It's useful to have both positive and negative tests here, so you do both. **Listing 2** shows that test case.

**Listing 2. Unit test for the square root function**
```cpp
#include "gtest/gtest.h"

TEST (SquareRootTest, PositiveNos) {
    EXPECT_EQ (18.0, square-root (324.0));
    EXPECT_EQ (25.4, square-root (645.16));
    EXPECT_EQ (50.3321, square-root (2533.310224));
}

TEST (SquareRootTest, ZeroAndNegativeNos) {
    ASSERT_EQ (0.0, square-root (0.0));
    ASSERT_EQ (-1, square-root (-22.0));
}
```

Listing 2 creates a test hierarchy named SquareRootTest and then adds two unit tests, PositiveNos and ZeroAndNegativeNos, to that hierarchy. TEST is a predefined macro defined in gtest.h (available with the downloaded sources) that helps define this hierarchy. EXPECT_EQ and ASSERT_EQ are also macros—in the former case test execution continues even if there is a failure while in the latter case test execution aborts. Clearly, if the square root of 0 is anything but 0, there isn't much left to test anyway. That's why the ZeroAndNegativeNos test uses only ASSERT_EQ while the PositiveNos test uses EXPECT_EQ to tell you how many cases there are where the square root function fails without aborting the test.

**Running the first test**
Now that you've created your first basic test, it is time to run it. **Listing 3** is the code for the main routine that runs the test.

**Listing 3. Running the square root test**
```cpp
#include "gtest/gtest.h"

TEST(SquareRootTest, PositiveNos) {
    EXPECT_EQ (18.0, square-root (324.0));
    EXPECT_EQ (25.4, square-root (645.16));
    EXPECT_EQ (50.3321, square-root (2533.310224));
}

TEST (SquareRootTest, ZeroAndNegativeNos) {
    ASSERT_EQ (0.0, square-root (0.0));
    ASSERT_EQ (-1, square-root (-22.0));
}

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    ::testing::InitGoogleTest(&argc, argv);
    return RUN_ALL_TESTS();
}
```
The ::testing::InitGoogleTest method does what the name suggests—it initializes the framework and must be called before RUN_ALL_TESTS. RUN_ALL_TESTS must be called only once in the code because multiple calls to it conflict with some of the advanced features of the framework and, therefore, are not supported. Note that RUN_ALL_TESTS automatically detects and runs all the tests defined using the TEST macro. By default, the results are printed to standard output. Listing 4 shows the output.

**Listing 4. Output from running the square root test**

```
Running main() from user_main.cpp
[==========] Running 2 tests from 1 test case.
[----------] Global test environment set-up.
[----------] 2 tests from SquareRootTest
[ RUN      ] SquareRootTest.PositiveNos
  ..\user_sqrt.cpp(6862): error: Value of: sqrt (2533.310224)
     Actual: 50.332
Expected: 50.3321
[  FAILED  ] SquareRootTest.PositiveNos (9 ms)
[ RUN      ] SquareRootTest.ZeroAndNegativeNos
  [       OK ] SquareRootTest.ZeroAndNegativeNos (0 ms)
[----------] 2 tests from SquareRootTest (0 ms total)
[----------] Global test environment tear-down
[==========] 2 tests from 1 test case ran. (10 ms total)
[  PASSED  ] 1 test.
[  FAILED  ] 1 test, listed below:
[  FAILED  ] SquareRootTest.PositiveNos
1 FAILED TEST
```

**Options for the Google C++ Testing Framework**

In Listing 3 you see that the InitGoogleTest function accepts the arguments to the test infrastructure. This section discusses some of the cool things that you can do with the arguments to the testing framework.

You can dump the output into XML format by passing --gtest_output="xml:report.xml" on the command line. You can, of course, replace report.xml with whatever file name you prefer.

There are certain tests that fail at times and pass at most other times. This is typical of problems related to memory corruption. There's a higher probability of detecting the fail if the test is run a couple times. If you pass --gtest_repeat=2 --gtest_break_on_failure on the command line, the same test is repeated twice. If the test fails, the debugger is automatically invoked.

Not all tests need to be run at all times, particularly if you are making changes in the code that affect only specific modules. To support this, Google provides --gtest_filter=<test string>. The format for the test string is a series of wildcard patterns separated by colons (:). For example, --gtest_filter=* runs all tests while --gtest_filter=SquareRoot* runs only the SquareRootTest tests. If you want to run only the positive unit tests from SquareRootTest, use --gtest_filter=SquareRootTest.*-SquareRootTest.Zero*. Note that SquareRootTest.* means all tests belonging to SquareRootTest, and -SquareRootTest.Zero* means don't run those tests whose names begin with Zero.
Listing 5 provides an example of running SquareRootTest with gtest_output, gtest_repeat, and gtest_filter.

**Listing 5. Running SquareRootTest with gtest_output, gtest_repeat, and gtest_filter**

```
[arpan@tintin] ./test_executable --gtest_output="xml:report.xml" --gtest_repeat=2 --gtest_filter=SquareRootTest.*-SquareRootTest.Zero*

Repeating all tests (iteration 1) . . .

Note: Google Test filter = SquareRootTest.*-SquareRootTest.Z*
[==========] Running 1 test from 1 test case.
[----------] Global test environment set-up.
[----------] 1 test from SquareRootTest
  Actual: 50.332
  Expected: 50.3321
[  FAILED  ] SquareRootTest.PositiveNos (2 ms)
[----------] 1 test from SquareRootTest (2 ms total)
[----------] Global test environment tear-down
[==========] Running 1 test from 1 test case ran. (20 ms total)
[  PASSED  ] 0 tests.
[  FAILED  ] 1 test, listed below:
[  FAILED  ] SquareRootTest.PositiveNos
1 FAILED TEST

Repeating all tests (iteration 2) . . .

Note: Google Test filter = SquareRootTest.*-SquareRootTest.Z*
[==========] Running 1 test from 1 test case.
[----------] Global test environment set-up.
[----------] 1 test from SquareRootTest
  Actual: 50.332
  Expected: 50.3321
[  FAILED  ] SquareRootTest.PositiveNos (2 ms)
[----------] 1 test from SquareRootTest (2 ms total)
[----------] Global test environment tear-down
[==========] Running 1 test from 1 test case ran. (20 ms total)
[  PASSED  ] 0 tests.
[  FAILED  ] 1 test, listed below:
[  FAILED  ] SquareRootTest.PositiveNos
1 FAILED TEST
```

**Temporarily disabling tests**

Let’s say you break the code. Can you disable a test temporarily? Yes, simply add the `DISABLE_` prefix to the logical test name or the individual unit test name and it won’t execute. **Listing 6** demonstrates what you need to do if you want to disable the `PositiveNos` test from **Listing 2**.
Listing 6. Disabling a test temporarily

```cpp
#include "gtest/gtest.h"

TEST (DISABLE_SquareRootTest, PositiveNos) {
    EXPECT_EQ (18.0, square-root (324.0));
    EXPECT_EQ (25.4, square-root (645.16));
    EXPECT_EQ (50.3321, square-root (2533.310224));
}

OR

TEST (SquareRootTest, DISABLE_PositiveNos) {
    EXPECT_EQ (18.0, square-root (324.0));
    EXPECT_EQ (25.4, square-root (645.16));
    EXPECT_EQ (50.3321, square-root (2533.310224));
}
```

Note that the Google framework prints a warning at the end of the test execution if there are any disabled tests, as shown in Listing 7.

Listing 7. Google warns user of disabled tests in the framework

```
1 FAILED TEST
YOU HAVE 1 DISABLED TEST
```

If you want to continue running the disabled tests, pass the `-gtest_also_run_disabled_tests` option on the command line. Listing 8 shows the output when the `DISABLE_PositiveNos` test is run.

Listing 8. Google lets you run tests that are otherwise disabled

```
[----------] 1 test from DISABLED_SquareRootTest
[ RUN      ] DISABLED_SquareRootTest.PositiveNos
..\user_sqrt.cpp(6854): error: Value of: square-root (2533.310224)
    Actual: 50.332
[  FAILED  ] DISABLED_SquareRootTest.PositiveNos (2 ms)
[----------] 1 test from DISABLED_SquareRootTest (2 ms total)
```

It's all about assertions

The Google test framework comes with a whole host of predefined assertions. There are two kinds of assertions—those with names beginning with `ASSERT_` and those beginning with `EXPECT_`. The `ASSERT_*` variants abort the program execution if an assertion fails while `EXPECT_*` variants continue with the run. In either case, when an assertion fails, it prints the file name, line number, and a message that you can customize. Some of the simpler assertions include `ASSERT_TRUE (condition)` and `ASSERT_NE (val1, val2)`. The former expects the condition to always be true while the latter expects the two values to be mismatched. These assertions work on user-defined types too, but you must overload the corresponding comparison operator (==, !=, <=, and so on).

Floating point comparisons

Google provides the macros shown in Listing 9 for floating point comparisons.
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Listing 9. Macros for floating point comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macro Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASSERT_FLOAT_EQ</td>
<td>(expected, actual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSERT_DOUBLE_EQ</td>
<td>(expected, actual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSERT_NEAR</td>
<td>(expected, actual, absolute_range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPECT_FLOAT_EQ</td>
<td>(expected, actual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPECT_DOUBLE_EQ</td>
<td>(expected, actual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPECT_NEAR</td>
<td>(expected, actual, absolute_range)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why do you need separate macros for floating point comparisons? Wouldn't ASSERT_EQ work? The answer is that ASSERT_EQ and related macros may or may not work, and it's smarter to use the macros specifically meant for floating point comparisons. Typically, different central processing units (CPUs) and operating environments store floating points differently and simple comparisons between expected and actual values don't work. For example, ASSERT_FLOAT_EQ (2.00001, 2.000011) passes—Google does not throw an error if the results tally up to four decimal places. If you want greater precision, use ASSERT_NEAR (2.00001, 2.000011, 0.0000001) and you receive the error shown in Listing 10.

Listing 10. Error message from ASSERT_NEAR

Math.cc(68): error: The difference between 2.00001 and 2.000011 is 1e-006, which exceeds 0.0000001, where 2.000001 evaluates to 2.00001, 2.000011 evaluates to 2.00001, and 0.0000001 evaluates to 1e-007.

Death tests

The Google C++ Testing Framework has an interesting category of assertions (ASSERT_DEATH, ASSERT_EXIT, and so on) that it calls the death assertions. You use this type of assertion to check if a proper error message is emitted in case of bad input to a routine or if the process exits with a proper exit code. For example, in Listing 3, it would be good to receive an error message when doing square-root (-22.0) and exiting the program with return status -1 instead of returning -1.0. Listing 11 uses ASSERT_EXIT to verify such a scenario.

Listing 11. Running a death test using Google's framework

```cpp
#include "gtest/gtest.h"

double square-root (double num) {
    if (num < 0.0) {
        std::cerr << "Error: Negative Input\n";
        exit(-1);
    }
    // Code for 0 and +ve numbers follow
}

TEST (SquareRootTest, ZeroAndNegativeNos) {
    ASSERT_EQ (0.0, square-root (0.0));
    ASSERT_EXIT (square-root (-22.0), ::testing::ExitedWithCode(-1), "Error: Negative Input");
}

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    ::testing::InitGoogleTest(&argc, argv);
    return RUN_ALL_TESTS();
}
```
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**Listing 12. Prototypes for death assertions**

```
//assertionExit checks if the function is exiting with a proper exit code (that is, the argument to
//exit or _exit routines) and compares the string within quotes to whatever the function prints to
//standard error. Note that the error messages must go to std::cerr and not std::cout. Listing 12
//provides the prototypes for ASSERT_DEATH and ASSERT_EXIT.
```

```plaintext
Listing 12: Prototypes for death assertions

ASSERT_DEATH(statement, expected_message)
ASSERT_EXIT(statement, predicate, expected_message)
```

Google provides the predefined predicate ::testing::ExitedWithCode(exit_code). The result of
this predicate is true only if the program exits with the same exit_code mentioned in the predicate.

**Understanding test fixtures**

It is typical to do some custom initialization work before executing a unit test. For example, if you
are trying to measure the time/memory footprint of a test, you need to put some test-specific code
in place to measure those values. This is where fixtures come in—they help you set up such
custom testing needs. **Listing 13** shows what a fixture class looks like.

**Listing 13. A test fixture class**

```cpp
class myTestFixture1: public ::testing::test {
public:
    myTestFixture1( ) {
        // initialization code here
    }

    void SetUp( ) {
        // code here will execute just before the test ensues
    }

    void TearDown( ) {
        // code here will be called just after the test completes
        // ok to throw exceptions from here if need be
    }

    ~myTestFixture1( ) {
        // cleanup any pending stuff, but no exceptions allowed
    }

    // put in any custom data members that you need
};
```

The fixture class is derived from the ::testing::test class declared in gtest.h. **Listing 14** is an
example that uses the fixture class. Note that it uses the TEST_F macro instead of TEST.
Listing 14. Sample use of a fixture

```cpp
TEST_F (myTestFixture1, UnitTest1) {
  
}
```

```cpp
TEST_F (myTestFixture1, UnitTest2) {
  
}
```

There are a few things that you need to understand when using fixtures:

- You can do initialization or allocation of resources in either the constructor or the `setUp` method. The choice is left to you, the user.
- You can do deallocation of resources in `TearDown` or the destructor routine. However, if you want exception handling you must do it only in the `TearDown` code because throwing an exception from the destructor results in undefined behavior.
- The Google assertion macros may throw exceptions in platforms where they are enabled in future releases. Therefore, it's a good idea to use assertion macros in the `TearDown` code for better maintenance.
- The same test fixture is not used across multiple tests. For every new unit test, the framework creates a new test fixture. So in Listing 14, the `setUp` (please use proper spelling here) routine is called twice because two `myFixture1` objects are created.

Conclusion

This article just scratches the surface of the Google C++ Testing Framework. Detailed documentation about the framework is available from the Google site. For advanced developers, I recommend you read some of the other articles about open regression frameworks such as the Boost unit test framework and CppUnit.
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